IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 40 OF 2006

SHRI DEU KESHAV NAIK, BARDEZ, GOA. ....Petitioner

Versus

INTEKHAB SAYED AND ANR., ...Respondents

Mr. Rohit Bras De Sa, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. Mauro Menezes Mathew, Advocate for Respondent No. 1.

Coram:- N. A. BRITTO, J.

Date:- 6th October, 2006

P.C.

Heard Shri Rohit Bras D' Sa on behalf of the petitioner and Shri Mauro Menezes on behalf of the respondent no.1.

Both the parties have filed Consent Terms to settle the Criminal Revision Application. The Petitioner/accused who is present in person as well as along with his Advocate Shri Rohit Bras De Sa, has stated that the petitioner undertakes to pay the balance amount as stipulated in Para 4 of the Consent Terms and in case he fails to pay the last instalment by August, 2007, he will undergo the sentence imposed upon him by the trial Court and as confirmed by the Appellate Court.

The petitioner/accused further undertakes to undergo the said sentence on the defaults being brought to the notice of the trial Court by the Complainant. Upon payments being made, the respondent no.1 undertakes to withdraw the suits filed by him in respect of the subject matter of this Revision Application.

In view of the said undertaking, petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the

Criminal Revision Application. Leave granted. Criminal Revision Application shall stand dismissed as withdrawn.

The execution of the sentence is suspended till August, 2007.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

arp/*